Martin Luther King Jr.’s “I Have a Dream” speech is the most famous portion of the August 28, 1963, March on Washington for Jobs and Freedom. But King’s speech was less heralded during the balance of his own lifetime than it has become since his death by assassination on April 4, 1968. Exploring how and why the fame of “I Have a Dream” is almost entirely posthumous allows us now, 40 years later, to understand better just how different King’s oration looked from inside the Civil Rights Movement of the 1960s than it does to many Americans today.

The idea of a 1963 March on Washington was not originally Martin Luther King’s; instead it was A. Philip Randolph, a longtime trade union activist and the senior statesman among African-American civil rights lead-
The leaders of the March on Washington were pulled quickly out of pre-event meetings on Capitol Hill when impatient participants began marching down Constitution Avenue without them. Opening a gap in the moving column, aides inserted the chain of hand-holding leaders so they might be photographed “leading” the event.

ers, who first suggested such an event early that year. Indeed, Randolph had planned a similar mass descent upon Washington two decades earlier, in 1941, before canceling the demonstration after President Franklin D. Roosevelt agreed to stronger federal anti-discrimination policies.

What Randolph envisioned in early 1963 was a two-day gathering aimed at drawing attention to “the economic subordination of the American Negro.” As sketched out by Randolph’s close aide Bayard Rustin, “a broad and fundamental program of economic justice” and in particular “the creation of more jobs for all Americans” would be the March’s substantive goal. “Integration in the fields of education, housing, transportation and public accommodations”—at that time the Civil Rights Movement’s most visible aims—“will
To ensure that the demonstrations would be carried out smoothly and peacefully, planners coordinated their efforts with D.C. and congressional leaders. At right, Bayard Rustin, deputy director of the March, flanked by two assistants, meets with Metropolitan Police Chief Robert V. Murray. March leaders A. Philip Randolph (standing above right) and Cleveland Robinson outline their plans to more than 60 senators and representatives.

be of limited extent and duration so long as fundamental economic inequality along racial lines persists,” Rustin asserted.

Randolph and Rustin imagined as many as 100,000 protesters besieging Congress on one day in May and then a public mass rally the following day. As weeks went by in early 1963, their target date shifted to mid-June, then October, but neither of the two largest civil rights groups—the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), headed by the sometimes cautious Roy Wilkins, and the National Urban League (NUL), led by Whitney Young—offered support or encouragement when informed of Randolph’s plan.

Martin Luther King Jr. and his Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC) were too busy and preoccupied during the early months of 1963 with planning a major upcoming protest campaign in Birmingham, Ala., to react in any fashion to Randolph’s incipient idea. SCLC’s Birmingham demonstrations got underway in earnest in April 1963, but more than four weeks went by before those protests climaxed with internationally distributed scenes of Birmingham policemen and firemen letting loose with snarling German shepherds and high-powered fire hoses against African-American marchers and onlookers.

SCLC’s Birmingham campaign was aimed at winning desegregated facilities and new job opportunities in the city’s downtown department stores, but Birmingham’s vituperatively racist public safety commissioner, Eugene “Bull” Connor, was committed to doing everything he could to obstruct any possible negotiated accord between the downtown business community and the African-American protesters. Up until May of 1963, Presi-
'To get the votes we need, we have, first, to oppose demonstrations which will lead to violence, and, second, give Congress a fair chance to work its will.' — John F. Kennedy, June 22, 1963

such an event could feature "sit-in" protests at the U.S. Capitol. "Dr. King Denounces President on Rights" was The New York Times headline on the resulting news story.

But neither King nor the press knew that privately, for more than two weeks, the president, his attorney general brother and their closest civil rights advisers had been secretly putting together an outline for a dramatically far-reaching civil rights bill that the administration would place before Congress. On the evening of June 11, John F. Kennedy went on nationwide television to announce that proposal and to tell the American people that the civil rights struggle confronted them "primarily with a moral issue. It is as old as the scriptures and is as clear as the American Constitution."

Kennedy's remarkable address deeply impressed King. "He was really great," King told Levison in yet another wiretapped phone call. Most immediately, King added, Kennedy's speech meant that their March on Washington now ought to target Congress, not the president. King publicly amplified that thought a week later in Birmingham: "As soon as they start to filibuster, I think we should march on Washington with a quarter of a million people."

But two important entities were unpersuaded of the political wisdom of any such march. One was the two mainline civil rights groups that previously had rebuffed Randolph, the NAACP and the NUL. The other was the Kennedy administration, which quickly invited King, Randolph, Young and other civil rights leaders to a private meeting with the president on June 22. "We want success in Congress, not just a big show at the Capitol," John Kennedy told them. "It seemed to me a great mistake to announce a march on Washington before the bill was even in committee. The only effect is to create an atmosphere of intimidation—and this may give some members of Congress an out."

A. Philip Randolph tried to rebut the president's worries, but Kennedy was adamant, saying, "To get the votes we need, we have, first, to oppose demonstrations which will lead to violence, and, second, give Congress a fair chance to work its will." The president did not explicitly ask for cancellation of the March, but his message was clear. King told reporters that "we feel a demonstration would help the President's civil rights legislation" rather...

Martin Luther King Jr.'s 'Letter From Birmingham City Jail'

"There are two types of laws, just and unjust," wrote Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. from jail on Easter weekend, 1963. "One has a moral responsibility to disobey unjust laws." St. Thomas Aquinas would not have disagreed. The image burned into national memory is the Dr. King of "I Have a Dream," delivered 40 years ago in Washington, D.C. So it's hard to conjure up the 34-year-old in a narrow cell in Birmingham City Jail, hunkered down alone at sunset, using the margins of newspapers and the backs of legal papers to articulate the philosophical foundation of the Civil Rights Movement.

"Letter From Birmingham City Jail," now considered a classic of world literature, was crafted as a response to eight local white clergymen who had denounced Dr. King's nonviolent protest in the Birmingham News, demanding an end to the demonstrations for desegregation of lunch counters, restrooms and stores. Dr. King's letter had to be smuggled out of the jail in installments by his attorneys, arriving thought by thought at the Southern Christian Leadership Conference's makeshift nerve center at the Gaston Motel. An intensely disciplined Christian, Dr. King was able to mold a modern manifesto of nonviolent resistance out of the teachings of Jesus and Gandhi.

Throughout the 1960s the very word "Birmingham" conjured up haunting images of church bombings and the brutality of Eugene "Bull" Connor's police, snarling dogs and high-powered fire hoses. When King spent his nine days in the Birmingham jail, it was one of the most rigidly segregated cities in the South, although African Americans made up 40 percent of the population. As Harrison Salisbury wrote in The New York Times, "the streets, the water supply, and the sewer system" were the only public facilities shared by both races. Yet by the time Dr. King was murdered in Memphis five years later, his philosophy had triumphed and Jim Crow laws had been smashed. "Letter From Birmingham City Jail" would eventually be translated into more than 40 languages.

Thanks to Dr. King's letter, "Birmingham" had become a clarion call for action by the anti-apartheid movement in South Africa, especially in the 1980s, when the international outcry to free Nelson Mandela reached its zenith. Archbishop Desmond Tutu quoted the letter in his sermons, Jamaican reggae singer Bob Marley kept the text with him for good luck, and Ghana's Prime Minister Kwame Nkrumah's children chanted from it as though Dr. King's text were a holy writ. During the Cold War, Czechoslovakia's Charter 77, Poland's Solidarity and East Germany's Pastors' Movement all had "Letter From Birmingham City Jail" translated and disseminated to the masses via the underground.

Just as Dr. King had been inspired by Henry David Thoreau's essay "Civil
On July 17, President Kennedy, choosing to embrace the inevitable, publicly endorsed the March, and administration officials quietly began assisting March planners. than hurt it, but NAACP leader Roy Wilkins was noncommittal, and in private he told his colleagues that only "quiet, patient lobbying tactics" should be employed.

Two days later, at a decisive planning meeting, Wilkins expressed worries about any assemblage that might feature a "tinge of Harlem," but the NAACP grudgingly agreed to endorse a one-day Washington event on Wednesday, August 28. Yet other civil rights supporters remained extremely worried about the March; African-American Congressman Charles C. Diggs Jr., of Detroit, warned King that in Washington there was increasing concern about "disciplinary problems" at such a demonstration, and that the announcement of the August 28 date had made "a lot of people nervous."

In early July, the March organizers announced that no sit-ins or civil disobedience would be part of the August 28 gathering, and worries about what would occur began to recede. On July 17, President Kennedy, choosing to embrace the inevitable, publicly endorsed the March, and administration officials quietly began assisting March planners in innumerable ways. King, echoing Randolph's original theme, told journalists the March would "arouse the conscience of the nation over the economic plight of the Negro," but the Urban League's Whitney Young voiced the new consensus that had resulted from Kennedy's metamorphosis: The March would be "an all-inclusive demonstration of our belief in the President's program."

As August 28 drew close, planners agreed on an afternoon rally at the Lincoln Memorial where speeches by March leaders would be interspersed among musical performances by noted entertainers. King would speak last, and four days before the March he told Al Duckett, a black journalist who was ghost-writing a forthcoming King book on the Birmingham campaign (eventually titled Why We Can't Wait), that his August 28 oration needed to be "sort of a Gettysburg Address."

But given how hectically frantic King's daily schedule usually was, only in the early morning hours of August 28 itself did King finish his final revisions on an advance text of a speech. When typed out and mimeographed for advance distribution to the press, it came to less than three legal-size, double-spaced pages. Yet for King to produce any sort of an advance text for a speech was almost unprecedented, since whether at civil rights rallies or

On April 12, 1963, a Birmingham, Ala., police officer arrests Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. for leading an anti-segregation demonstration. Written in his cell, King's "Letter from Birmingham City Jail" became a modern manifesto of nonviolent resistance.

Disobedience," written in a Massachusetts jail to protest the Mexican-American War, a new generation of the globally oppressed embraced the letter as a source of courage and inspiration. Segregation and apartheid were supported by clearly unjust laws—because they distorted the soul and damaged the psyche. Dr. King's remedy: nonviolent direct action, the only spiritually valid way to bring gross injustice to the surface, where it could be seen and dealt with.

In Jerusalem in 1983, Mubarak Awad, an American-educated clinical psychologist, translated the letter for Palestinians to use in their workshops to teach students about nonviolent struggle. When a Chinese student stood in front of a tank in Tiananmen Square on June 4, 1989, unflinching in his democratic convictions, he was symbolically acting upon the teachings of Dr. King as elucidated in his fearless Birmingham letter.

Argentinian human rights activist Adolfo Pérez Esquivel, the 1980 Nobel Peace Prize winner, was inspired in part by King's letter to create Servicio Paz y Justicia, a Latin American organization that documented the tragedy of the desaparecidos. Today one would be hard-pressed to find an African novelist or poet, including Chinua Achebe and Wole Soyinka, who had not been spurred to denounce authoritarianism by King's notion that it was morally essential to become a bold protagonist for justice. Even conservative Republican William J. Bennett included "Letter From Birmingham City Jail" in his Book of Virtues.

The universal appeal of Dr. King's letter lies in the hope it provides the dispossessed and dispossessed of the earth, the millions of voiceless poor who populate the planet from the garbage dumps of Calcutta to the AIDS villages of Haiti. His letter describes the "shameful humiliation" and "inexpressible cruelties" of American slavery, and just as Dr. King was forced to reduce his sacred thoughts to the profane words of the newspaper in order to triumph over injustice, African Americans would win...
Fully cognizant of the reverence most Americans, indeed most of the world, felt for Abraham Lincoln, March organizers seized every opportunity to make it clear that all they wanted was the freedom and equality Lincoln had promised at Gettysburg a century before.

in Sunday morning church sermons, Martin Luther King Jr. almost always spoke extemporaneously, often with no outline or notes whatsoever in front of him. As Drew Hansen writes in his new book *The Dream: Martin Luther King, Jr., and the Speech That Inspired a Nation*, “King did not so much write most of his speeches as assemble them, by rearranging and adapting material he had used many times before,” material that King the preacher knew by heart.

**After master of ceremonies** A. Philip Randolph introduced King as “the moral leader of our nation,” King addressed the huge late afternoon crowd of more than 250,000. He began by commending his listeners for joining “what will go down in history as the greatest demonstration for freedom in the history of our nation.” Then King began to make his way through his advance text almost verbatim, making reference to Abraham Lincoln’s Emancipation Proclamation and to the promises of the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution, promises that remained unfulfilled for black Americans, King noted. Speaking metaphorically, King compared those promises to a “bad check” that the United States should now make good on. Using one of his favorite rhetorical devices, an anaphora featuring the recurring phrase “Now is the time,” King called for America to live up to those promises. He made no direct reference to Congress or to Kennedy’s pending civil rights bill, but he did identify discriminatory evils that federal legislation could eliminate. After quoting the prophet Amos on justice and righteousness, King was close to the end of his prepared text. He later recalled that moment:

I started out reading the speech, and I read it down to a point, and just all of a sudden, I decided—the audience response was wonderful that day, you know—and all of a sudden this thing came to me that I have used—I’d used it many times before, that thing about “I have a dream”—and I just felt that I wanted to
Managing the March

[Illustrations of people participating in the March on Washington with signs and buses lining the street.]
The August 28, 1963, March on Washington was a logistic triumph. Plans included reserving parking spaces for the hundreds of buses that brought demonstrators, ensuring the availability of portable toilet facilities and adequate food and water, and making sure there were no police dogs to conjure up memories of Bull Connor's Birmingham.

To make the March appear less threatening to conservative legislators and the general public, white organizations around the country were asked to send delegations to create a more multiracial event; posters, placards and pins were preprinted in reds and blues on a white background to give a more patriotic look; and the number of slogans was limited to portray a unified focus. March organizers strove to give the media, especially television, full access to the events. From many carefully chosen positions, cameramen could broadcast the speeches and record the presence of celebrities, among them stars Sidney Poitier, Harry Belafonte and Charlton Heston (above left), and folksingers Bob Dylan, Joan Baez, and Peter, Paul and Mary (above right), all of whom supported the March's message. As a result, the March received the most extensive media coverage of a protest in history and it was portrayed as what it was—a truly nonviolent, democratic demonstration.
At times bowing their heads in prayer, at times enthusiastically cheering and applauding or singing along, an estimated quarter of a million demonstrators ringed the reflecting pool on Washington, D.C.'s Mall to listen to well-known popular and classical performers and speeches by celebrities, politicians and civil rights leaders, the last of whom was Martin Luther King Jr.
use it here. I don’t know why, I hadn’t thought about it before the speech.

King had indeed used it before—in Albany, Ga., and in Rocky Mount, N.C., in the fall of 1962, and in both Birmingham and in Detroit a few months earlier—but on none of those occasions had it had anywhere near the impact that it did on August 28. “I have a dream,” King began, again introducing an echoing phrase. He quoted from the Declaration of Independence, alluding to the segregationist doctrines of Alabama Governor George C. Wallace, and then reiterated his “dream” that one day even Alabama would achieve interracial harmony. He ended his “I have a dream” repetition by quoting from the Bible’s Book of Isaiah, and then, in his concluding lines, returned to the closing that appeared in his advance text. Adding several lines from a traditional American patriotic song, King expanded on its call to “let freedom ring” from every mountainside by appending some notable Southern mountains to its list of American peaks. He ended with a line he often used as a closing: “Free at last! Free at last! Thank God Almighty, we are free at last!”

As Drew Hansen notes in The Dream, “had King not decided to leave his written text, it is doubtful that his speech at the march would be remembered at all,” for up until the beginning of his “dream” anaphora, King’s oration had been impressive but not memorable. But once that spontaneous inspiration took hold, King shifted forcefully into his voice as a preacher, rather than just a public speaker, and for the first time a national American audience was exposed to King’s real sermonic power. It was a gift that King had polished in black Southern churches for more than a decade, a gift that movement colleagues had encountered from the onset of the 1955–56 Montgomery bus boycott forward, but only on August 28 did such a huge crowd, plus a live national television audience, hear the extemporaneous genius that made King such a remarkable preacher.

“I Have a Dream” was the signature touchstone of the August 28 March, but the hugely influential success of the March lay in its impressive turnout and in its utterly friendly and easygoing tone, far more so than in King’s address. Ten months later Kennedy’s bill, championed in Congress by the new president, Lyndon B. Johnson, was signed into law as the landmark Civil Rights Act of 1964, and one year after that the other bookend legislative achievement of the Southern civil rights struggle, the Voting Rights Act of 1965, also became law.

But in the years after 1965, the glow of the 1963 March, and of the entire 1963–65 civil rights apex, rapidly receded. King himself quickly sensed the deteriorating political scene, and even in mid-1965 he woefully complained about how “often in these past two years I have had to watch my dream transformed into a nightmare.” That nightmare formulation recurred often in King’s speeches and sermons during 1966 and 1967, and as Drew Hansen rightly observes, “between 1963 and 1968, few people spent substantial time talking or thinking about what King had said at the march.” Indeed, by the time of his assassination on April 4, 1968, King’s speech “had nearly vanished from public view.”

Yet the tragedy of King’s assassination quickly returned his 1963 speech to the popular eye. “Within a few weeks of King’s death,” Hansen explains, “the ‘I Have a Dream’ speech had regained all the public visibility it had lost since 1963.” Indeed, it “gradually came to dominate public memory of King’s legacy,” thereby raising the significant danger that its upbeat and optimistic tone would distract most if not all attention from the more radically challenging and harshly critical parts of King’s legacy that were most obvious during his 1967–68 public attacks on American economic inequality and American foreign policy.

But 40 years after the March on Washington, there is no gainsaying that Martin Luther King Jr.’s “I Have a Dream” has entered American public culture as “the oratorical equivalent of the Declaration of Independence,” as Hansen puts it. If its fame threatens to swamp the balance of King’s legacy, and if its stature directs historical memory only toward the brightest and not the bleakest days of the 1960s black freedom movement, it nonetheless remains the most notable oratorical achievement of the 20th century—a “sort of a Gettysburg Address” indeed.